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## 1. Coefficient of determination: $R^{2}$

Let $y=X \beta+\varepsilon$ be a model that satisfies the assumptions of the classical linear model, where $y$ and $\varepsilon$ are $T \times 1$ vectors, $X$ is a $T \times k$ matrix and $\beta$ is $k \times 1$ coefficient vector. We wish to characterize to which extent the variables included in $X$ (excluding the constant, if there is one) explain $y$.

A first method consists in computing $R^{2}$, the "coefficient of determination", or $R=\sqrt{R^{2}}$, the "coefficient of multiple correlation". Let

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{y} & =X \hat{\beta}, \hat{\varepsilon}=y-\hat{y}, \bar{y}=\sum_{t=1}^{T} y_{t} / T=i^{\prime} y / T  \tag{1.1}\\
i & =(1,1, \ldots, 1)^{\prime} \text { the unit vector of dimension } T  \tag{1.2}\\
S S T & =\sum_{t=1}^{T}\left(y_{t}-\bar{y}\right)^{2}=(y-i \bar{y})^{\prime}(y-i \bar{y}), \text { (total sum of squares) }  \tag{1.3}\\
S S R & =\sum_{t=1}^{T}\left(\hat{y}_{t}-\bar{y}\right)^{2}=(\hat{y}-i \bar{y})^{\prime}(\hat{y}-i \bar{y}), \text { (regression sum of squares) }  \tag{1.4}\\
S S E & =\sum_{t=1}^{T}\left(y_{t}-\hat{y}_{t}\right)^{2}=(y-\hat{y})^{\prime}(y-\hat{y})=\hat{\varepsilon}^{\prime} \hat{\varepsilon}, \text { (error sum of squares) } \tag{1.5}
\end{align*}
$$

We can then define "variance estimators" as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{V}(y) & =S S T / T  \tag{1.6}\\
\hat{V}(\hat{y}) & =S S R / T  \tag{1.7}\\
\hat{V}(\varepsilon) & =S S E / T \tag{1.8}
\end{align*}
$$

1.1 Definition $R^{2}=1-(\hat{V}(\varepsilon) / \hat{V}(y))=1-(S S E / S S T)$.
1.2 Proposition $R^{2} \leq 1$.

Proof This result is immediate on observing that $S S E / S S T \geq 0$.
1.3 Lemma $y^{\prime} y=\hat{y}^{\prime} \hat{y}+\hat{\varepsilon}^{\prime} \hat{\varepsilon}$.

Proof We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=\hat{y}+\hat{\varepsilon} \text { and } \hat{y}^{\prime} \hat{\varepsilon}=\hat{\varepsilon}^{\prime} \hat{y}=0, \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

hence

$$
y^{\prime} y=(\hat{y}+\hat{\varepsilon})^{\prime}(\hat{y}+\hat{\varepsilon})=\hat{y}^{\prime} \hat{y}+\hat{y}^{\prime} \hat{\varepsilon}+\hat{\varepsilon}^{\prime} \hat{y}+\hat{\varepsilon}^{\prime} \hat{\varepsilon}=\hat{y}^{\prime} \hat{y}+\hat{\varepsilon}^{\prime} \hat{\varepsilon} .
$$

1.4 Proposition If one of the regressors is a constant, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
S S T & =S S R+S S E, \\
\hat{V}(y) & =\hat{V}(\hat{y})+\hat{V}(\varepsilon) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof Let $A=I_{T}-i\left(i^{\prime} i\right)^{-1} i^{\prime}=I_{T}-\frac{1}{T} i i^{\prime}$. Then, $A^{\prime} A=A$ and

$$
A y=\left[I_{T}-\frac{1}{T} i i^{\prime}\right] y=y-i \bar{y} .
$$

If one of the regressors is a constant, we have

$$
i^{\prime} \hat{\varepsilon}=\sum_{t=1}^{T} \hat{\varepsilon}_{t}=0
$$

hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \hat{y}_{t} & =\frac{1}{T} i^{\prime} \hat{y}=\frac{1}{T} i^{\prime}(y-\hat{\varepsilon})=\frac{1}{T} i^{\prime} y=\bar{y}, \\
A \hat{\varepsilon} & =\hat{\varepsilon}-\frac{1}{T} i i^{\prime} \hat{\varepsilon}=\hat{\varepsilon}, \\
A \hat{y} & =\hat{y}-\frac{1}{T} i i^{\prime} \hat{y}=\hat{y}-i \bar{y},
\end{aligned}
$$

and, using the fact that $A \hat{\varepsilon}=\hat{\varepsilon}$ and $\hat{y}^{\prime} \hat{\varepsilon}=0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
S S T & =(y-i \bar{y})^{\prime}(y-i \bar{y})=y^{\prime} A^{\prime} A y=y^{\prime} A y \\
& =(\hat{y}+\hat{\varepsilon})^{\prime} A(\hat{y}+\hat{\varepsilon}) \\
& =\hat{y}^{\prime} A \hat{y}+\hat{y}^{\prime} A \hat{\varepsilon}+\hat{y}^{\prime} A \hat{\varepsilon}+\hat{\varepsilon}^{\prime} A \hat{\varepsilon} \\
& =\hat{y}^{\prime} A \hat{y}+\hat{\varepsilon}^{\prime} \hat{\varepsilon} \\
& =(A \hat{y})^{\prime}(A \hat{y})+\hat{\varepsilon}^{\prime} \hat{\varepsilon}=S S R+S S E .
\end{aligned}
$$

1.5 Proposition If one of the regressors is a constant,

$$
R^{2}=\frac{\hat{V}(\hat{y})}{\hat{V}(y)}=\frac{S S R}{S S T} \quad \text { and } \quad 0 \leq R^{2} \leq 1 .
$$

Proof By the definition of $R^{2}$, we have $R^{2} \leq 1$ and

$$
R^{2}=1-\frac{\hat{V}(\varepsilon)}{\hat{V}(y)}=\frac{\hat{V}(y)-\hat{V}(\varepsilon)}{\hat{V}(y)}=\frac{\hat{V}(\hat{y})}{\hat{V}(y)}=\frac{S S R}{S S T}
$$

hence $R^{2} \geq 0$.
1.6 Proposition If one of the regressors is a constant, the empirical correlation between $y$ and $\hat{y}$ is non-negative and equal to $\sqrt{R^{2}}$.

Proof The empirical correlation between $y$ and $\hat{y}$ is defined by

$$
\hat{\rho}(y, \hat{y})=\frac{\hat{C}(y, \hat{y})}{[\hat{V}(y) \hat{V}(\hat{y})]^{1 / 2}}
$$

where

$$
\hat{C}(y, \hat{y})=\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T}\left(y_{t}-\bar{y}\right)\left(\hat{y}_{t}-\bar{y}\right)=\frac{1}{T}(A y)^{\prime}(A \hat{y})
$$

and $A=I_{T}-\frac{1}{T} i i^{\prime}$. Since one of the regressors is a constant,

$$
A \hat{\varepsilon}=\hat{\varepsilon}, A y=A \hat{y}+\hat{\varepsilon}, \hat{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(A \hat{y})=\hat{\varepsilon}^{\prime} \hat{y}=0
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{C}(y, \hat{y})=\frac{1}{T}(A \hat{y}+\hat{\varepsilon})^{\prime}(A \hat{y})=\frac{1}{T}(A \hat{y})^{\prime}(A \hat{y})=\hat{V}(\hat{y}), \\
& \hat{\rho}(y, \hat{y})=\frac{\hat{V}(\hat{y})}{[\hat{V}(y) \hat{V}(\hat{y})]^{1 / 2}}=\left[\frac{\hat{V}(\hat{y})}{\hat{V}(y)}\right]^{1 / 2}=\sqrt{R^{2}} \geq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

## 2. $\quad$ Significance tests and $R^{2}$

### 2.1. Relation of $R^{2}$ with a Fisher statistic

$R^{2}$ is descriptive statistic which measures the proportion of the "variance" of the dependent variable $y$ explained by suggested explanatory variables (excluding the constant). However, $R^{2}$ can be related to a significance test (under the assumptions of the Gaussian classical linear model).

Consider the model

$$
y_{t}=\beta_{1}+\beta_{2} X_{t 2}+\cdots+\beta_{k} X_{t k}+\varepsilon_{t}, t=1, \ldots, T
$$

We wish to test the hypothesis that none of these variables (excluding the constant) should appear in the equation:

$$
H_{0}: \beta_{2}=\beta_{3}=\cdots=\beta_{k}=0
$$

The Fisher statistic for $H_{0}$ is

$$
F=\frac{\left(S_{\omega}-S_{\Omega}\right) / q}{S_{\Omega} /(T-k)} \sim F(q, T-k)
$$

where $q=k-1, S_{\Omega}$ is the error sum of squares from the estimation of the unconstrained model

$$
\Omega: y=X \beta+\varepsilon
$$

where $X=\left[i, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{k}\right]$ and $S_{\omega}$ s the error sum of squares from the estimation of the constrained model

$$
\omega: y=i \beta_{1}+\varepsilon
$$

where $i=(1,1, \ldots, 1)^{\prime}$. We see easily that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{\Omega}=(y-X \hat{\beta})^{\prime}(y-X \hat{\beta})=S S E \\
& \hat{\beta}_{1}=\left(i^{\prime} i\right)^{-1} i^{\prime} y=\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} y_{t}=\bar{y},(\text { under } \omega)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
S_{\omega}=(y-i \bar{y})^{\prime}(y-i \bar{y})=S S T
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
F & =\frac{(S S T-S S E) /(k-1)}{S S E /(T-k)}=\frac{\left[1-\frac{S S E}{\left.\frac{S S T}{}\right] /(k-1)}\right.}{\frac{S S E}{S S T} /(T-k)} \\
& =\frac{R^{2} /(k-1)}{\left(1-R^{2}\right) /(T-k)} \sim F(k-1, T-k) .
\end{aligned}
$$

As $R^{2}$ increases, $F$ increases.

### 2.2. General relation between $R^{2}$ and Fisher tests

Consider the general linear hypothesis

$$
H_{0}: C \beta=r
$$

where $C: q \times k, \beta: k \times 1, r: q \times 1$ and $\operatorname{rank}(C)=q$. The values of $R^{2}$ for the constrained and unconstrained models are respectively:

$$
R_{0}^{2}=1-\frac{S_{\omega}}{S S T}, R_{1}^{2}=1-\frac{S_{\Omega}}{S S T}
$$

hence

$$
S_{\omega}=\left(1-R_{0}^{2}\right) S S T, S_{\Omega}=\left(1-R_{1}^{2}\right) S S T
$$

The Fisher statistic for testing $H_{0}$ may thus be written

$$
\begin{aligned}
F & =\frac{\left(S_{\omega}-S_{\Omega}\right) / q}{S_{\Omega} /(T-k)}=\frac{\left(R_{1}^{2}-R_{0}^{2}\right) / q}{\left(1-R_{1}^{2}\right) /(T-k)} \\
& =\left(\frac{T-k}{q}\right) \frac{R_{1}^{2}-R_{0}^{2}}{1-R_{1}^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

If $R_{1}^{2}-R_{0}^{2}$ is large, we tend to reject $H_{0}$. If $H_{0}: \beta_{2}=\beta_{3}=\cdots=\beta_{k}=0$, then

$$
q=k-1, S_{\omega}=S S T, R_{0}^{2}=0
$$

and the formula for $F$ above gets reduced of the one given in section 2.1.
3. Uncentered coefficient of determination: $\widetilde{R}^{2}$

Since $R^{2}$ can take negative values when the model does not contain a constant, $R^{2}$ has little meaning in this case. In such situations, we can instead use a coefficient where the values of $y_{t}$ are not centered around the mean.
3.1 Definition $\quad \widetilde{R}^{2}=1-\left(\hat{\varepsilon}^{\prime} \hat{\varepsilon} / y^{\prime} y\right)$.
$\tilde{R}^{2}$ is called the "uncentered coefficient of determination" on "uncentered $R^{2}$ " and $\tilde{R}=\sqrt{\tilde{R}^{2}}$ the "uncentered coefficient of multiple correlation".
3.2 Proposition $\quad 0 \leq \tilde{R}^{2} \leq 1$.

PROOF This follows directly from Lemma 1.3: $y^{\prime} y=\hat{y}^{\prime} \hat{y}+\hat{\varepsilon}^{\prime} \hat{\varepsilon}$.
4. Adjusted coefficient of determination: $\bar{R}^{2}$

### 4.1. Definition and basic properties

An unattractive property of the $R^{2}$ coefficient comes form the fact that $R^{2}$ cannot decrease when explanatory variables are added to the model, even if these have no relevance. Consequently, choosing to maximize $R^{2}$ can be misleading. It seems desirable to penalize models that contain too many variables.

Since

$$
R^{2}=1-\frac{\hat{V}(\varepsilon)}{\hat{V}(y)}
$$

where

$$
\hat{V}(\varepsilon)=\frac{S S E}{T}=\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \hat{\varepsilon}_{t}^{2}, \hat{V}(y)=\frac{S S T}{T}=\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T}\left(y_{t}-\bar{y}\right)^{2},
$$

Theil (1961, p. 213) suggested to replace $\hat{V}(\varepsilon)$ and $\hat{V}(y)$ by "unbiased estimators":

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s^{2}=\frac{S S E}{T-k}=\frac{1}{T-k} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \hat{\varepsilon}_{t}^{2}, \\
& s_{y}^{2}=\frac{S S T}{T-1}=\frac{1}{T-1} \sum_{t=1}^{T}\left(y_{t}-\bar{y}\right)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

4.1 Definition $R^{2}$ adjusted for degrees of freedom is defined by

$$
\bar{R}^{2}=1-\frac{s^{2}}{s_{y}^{2}}=1-\frac{T-1}{T-k}\left(\frac{S S E}{S S T}\right)
$$

4.2 Proposition $\quad \bar{R}^{2}=1-\frac{T-1}{T-k}\left(1-R^{2}\right)=R^{2}-\frac{k-1}{T-k}\left(1-R^{2}\right)$.

PRoOF

$$
\bar{R}^{2}=1-\frac{T-1}{T-k}\left(\frac{S S E}{S S T}\right)=1-\frac{T-1}{T-k}\left(1-R^{2}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =1-\frac{T-k+k-1}{T-k}\left(1-R^{2}\right)=1-\left(1+\frac{k-1}{T-k}\right)\left(1-R^{2}\right) \\
& =1-\left(1-R^{2}\right)-\frac{k-1}{T-k}\left(1-R^{2}\right)=R^{2}-\frac{k-1}{T-k}\left(1-R^{2}\right) . \quad \text { Q.E.D. }
\end{aligned}
$$

4.3 Proposition $\quad \bar{R}^{2} \leq R^{2} \leq 1$.

Proof The result follows from the fact that $1-R^{2} \geq 0$ and (4.2).
4.4 Proposition $\quad \bar{R}^{2}=R^{2} \quad$ iff $\quad\left(k=1 \quad\right.$ or $\left.\quad R^{2}=1\right)$.
4.5 Proposition $\quad \bar{R}^{2} \leq 0 \quad$ iff $R^{2} \leq \frac{k-1}{T-1}$.
$\bar{R}^{2}$ can be negative even if $R^{2} \geq 0$. If the number of explanatory variables is increased, $R^{2}$ and $k$ both increase, so that $\bar{R}^{2}$ can increase or decrease.
4.6 Remark When several models are compared on the basis of $R^{2}$ or $\bar{R}^{2}$, it is important to have the same dependent variable. When the dependent variable (y) is the same, maximizing $\bar{R}^{2}$ is equivalent to minimizing the standard error of the regression

$$
s=\left[\frac{1}{T-k} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \hat{\varepsilon}_{t}^{2}\right]^{1 / 2} .
$$

4.2. Criterion for $\bar{R}^{2}$ increase through the omission of an explanatory variable

Consider the two models:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{t}=\beta_{1} X_{t 1}+\cdots+\beta_{k-1} X_{t(k-1)}+\varepsilon_{t} \quad, t=1, \ldots, T, \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{t}=\beta_{1} X_{t 1},+\cdots+\beta_{k-1} X_{t(k-1)}+\beta_{k} X_{t k}+\varepsilon_{t} \quad, t=1, \ldots, T . \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can then show that the value of $\bar{R}^{2}$ associated with the restricted model (4.1) is larger than the one of model (4.2) if the $t$ statistic for testing $\beta_{k}=0$ is smaller than 1 (in absolute value).
4.7 Proposition If $\bar{R}_{k-1}^{2}$ and $\bar{R}_{k}^{2}$ are the values of $\bar{R}^{2}$ for models (4.1) and (4.2), then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{R}_{k}^{2}-\bar{R}_{k-1}^{2}=\frac{\left(1-\bar{R}_{k}^{2}\right)}{(T-k+1)}\left(t_{k}^{2}-1\right) \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $t_{k}$ is the Student $t$ statistic for testing $\beta_{k}=0$ in model (4.2), and

$$
\bar{R}_{k}^{2} \leq \bar{R}_{k-1}^{2} \quad \text { iff } \quad t_{k}^{2} \leq 1 \quad \text { iff } \quad\left|t_{k}\right| \leq 1
$$

If furthermore $\bar{R}_{k}^{2}<1$, then

$$
\bar{R}_{k}^{2} \lesseqgtr \bar{R}_{k-1}^{2} \quad \text { iff } \quad\left|t_{k}\right| \lesseqgtr 1 .
$$

Proof By definition,

$$
\bar{R}_{k}^{2}=1-\frac{s_{k}^{2}}{s_{y}^{2}} \quad \text { and } \quad \bar{R}_{k-1}^{2}=1-\frac{s_{k-1}^{2}}{s_{y}^{2}}
$$

where $s_{k}^{2}=S S_{k} /(T-k) \quad$ and $\quad s_{k-1}^{2}=S S_{k-1} /(T-k+1) . S S_{k}$ and $S S_{k-1}$ are the sums of squared errors for the models with $k$ and $k-1$ explanatory variables. Since $t_{k}^{2}$ is the Fisher statistic for testing $\beta_{k}=0$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
t_{k}^{2} & =\frac{\left(S S_{k-1}-S S_{k}\right)}{S S_{k} /(T-k)} \\
& =\frac{\left[(T-k+1) s_{k-1}^{2}-(T-k) s_{k}^{2}\right]}{s_{k}^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{(T-k+1)\left(1-\bar{R}_{k-1}^{2}\right)-(T-k)\left(1-\bar{R}_{k}^{2}\right)}{1-\bar{R}_{k}^{2}} \\
& =(T-k+1)\left(\frac{1-\bar{R}_{k-1}^{2}}{1-\bar{R}_{k}^{2}}\right)-(T-k)
\end{aligned}
$$

for $s_{k-1}^{2}=s_{y}^{2}\left(1-\bar{R}_{k-1}^{2}\right) \quad$ and $\quad s_{k}^{2}=s_{y}^{2}\left(1-\bar{R}_{k}^{2}\right)$. Consequently,

$$
1-\bar{R}_{k-1}^{2}=\left(1-\bar{R}_{k}^{2}\right) \frac{\left[t_{k}^{2}+(T-k)\right]}{T-k+1}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{R}_{k}^{2}-\bar{R}_{k-1}^{2} & =\left(1-\bar{R}_{k-1}^{2}\right)-\left(1-\bar{R}_{k}^{2}\right) \\
& =\left(1-\bar{R}_{k}^{2}\right)\left[\frac{t_{k}^{2}+(T-k)}{T-k+1}-1\right] \\
& =\left(1-\bar{R}_{k}^{2}\right)\left[\frac{t_{k}^{2}-1}{T-k+1}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

4.3. Generalized criterion for $\bar{R}^{2}$ increase through the imposition of linear constraints

We will now study when the imposition of $q$ linearly independent constraints

$$
H_{0}: C \beta=r
$$

will raise or decrease $\bar{R}^{2}$, where $C: q \times k, r: q \times 1$ and $\operatorname{rank}(C)=q$. Let $\bar{R}_{H_{0}}^{2}$ and $\bar{R}^{2}$ be the values of $\bar{R}^{2}$ for the constrained (by $H_{0}$ ) and unconstrained models, similarly, $s_{0}^{2}$ and $s^{2}$ are the values of the corresponding unbiased estimators of the error variance.
4.8 Proposition Let $F$ be the Fisher statistic for testing $H_{0}$. Then

$$
s_{0}^{2}-s^{2}=\frac{q s^{2}}{T-k+q}(F-1)
$$

and

$$
s_{0}^{2} \lesseqgtr s^{2} \quad \text { iff } \quad F \lesseqgtr 1 .
$$

Proof If $S S_{0}$ and $S S$ are the sum of squared errors for the constrained and unconstrained models, we have:

$$
s_{0}^{2}=\frac{S S_{0}}{T-k+q} \quad \text { and } \quad s^{2}=\frac{S S}{T-k} .
$$

The $F$ statistic may then be written

$$
\begin{aligned}
F & =\frac{\left(S S_{0}-S S\right) / q}{S S /(T-k)} \\
& =\frac{\left[(T-k+q) s_{0}^{2}-(T-k) s^{2}\right]}{q s^{2}}=\frac{T-k+q}{q}\left(\frac{s_{0}^{2}}{s^{2}}\right)-\frac{T-k}{q}
\end{aligned}
$$

hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
s_{0}^{2} & =s^{2} \frac{[q F+(T-k)]}{(T-k)+q}, \\
s_{0}^{2}-s^{2} & =s^{2} \frac{q(F-1)}{(T-k)+q},
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
s_{0}^{2} \lesseqgtr s^{2} \quad \text { iff } \quad F \lesseqgtr 1 .
$$

4.9 Proposition Let $F$ be the Fisher statistic for testing $H_{0}$. Then

$$
\bar{R}^{2}-\bar{R}_{H_{0}}^{2}=\frac{q\left(1-\bar{R}^{2}\right)}{T-k+q}(F-1)
$$

and

$$
\bar{R}_{H_{0}}^{2} \gtreqless \bar{R}^{2} \quad \text { iff } \quad F \lesseqgtr 1 .
$$

Proof By definition,

$$
\bar{R}_{H_{0}}^{2}=1-\frac{s_{0}^{2}}{s_{y}^{2}}, \bar{R}^{2}=1-\frac{s^{2}}{s_{y}^{2}} .
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{R}^{2}-\bar{R}_{H_{0}}^{2} & =\frac{s^{2}-s_{0}^{2}}{s_{y}^{2}} \\
& =\frac{q}{T-k+q}\left(\frac{s^{2}}{s_{y}^{2}}\right)(F-1) \\
& =\frac{q\left(1-\bar{R}^{2}\right)}{T-k+q}(F-1)
\end{aligned}
$$

hence

$$
\bar{R}_{H_{0}}^{2} \gtreqless \bar{R}^{2} \quad \text { iff } \quad F \lesseqgtr 1 .
$$

On taking $q=1$, we get property (4.3). If we test an hypothesis of the type

$$
H_{0}: \beta_{k}=\beta_{k+1}=\cdots=\beta_{k+l}=0,
$$

it is possible that $F>1$, while all the statistics $\left|t_{i}\right|, i=k, \ldots, k+l$ are smaller than 1 . This means that $\bar{R}^{2}$ increases when we omit one explanatory variable at a time, but decreases when they are all excluded from the regression. Further, it is
also possible that $F<1$, but $\left|t_{i}\right|>1$ for all $i$ : $\bar{R}^{2}$ increases when all the explanatory variables are simultaneously excluded, but decreases when only one is excluded.

## 5. Notes on bibliography

The notion of $\bar{R}^{2}$ was proposed by Theil (1961, p. 213). Several authors have presented detailed discussions of the different concepts of multiple correlation: for example, Theil (1971, Chap. 4), Schmidt (1976) and Maddala (1977, Sections 8.1, 8.2, 8.3, 8.9). The $\bar{R}^{2}$ concept is criticized by Pesaran (1974). The mean and bias of $R^{2}$ were studied by Cramer (1987) in the Gaussian case, and by Srivastava, Srivastava and Ullah (1995) in some non-Gaussian cases.
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