## Coefficients of determination\*

Jean-Marie Dufour<sup>†</sup> McGill University

First version: March 1983 Revised: February 2002, July 2011 This version: July 2011 Compiled: November 21, 2011, 11:05

<sup>\*</sup>This work was supported by the William Dow Chair in Political Economy (McGill University), the Bank of Canada (Research Fellowship), a Guggenheim Fellowship, a Konrad-Adenauer Fellowship (Alexander-von-Humboldt Foundation, Germany), the Canadian Network of Centres of Excellence [program on *Mathematics of Information Technology and Complex Systems* (MITACS)], the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada, the Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council of Canada, and the Fonds de recherche sur la société et la culture (Québec).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> William Dow Professor of Economics, McGill University, Centre interuniversitaire de recherche en analyse des organisations (CIRANO), and Centre interuniversitaire de recherche en économie quantitative (CIREQ). Mailing address: Department of Economics, McGill University, Leacock Building, Room 519, 855 Sherbrooke Street West, Montréal, Québec H3A 2T7, Canada. TEL: (1) 514 398 8879; FAX: (1) 514 398 4938; e-mail: jean-marie.dufour@mcgill.ca . Web page: http://www.jeanmariedufour.com

# Contents

1.	. Coefficient of determination: $R^2$	1		
2.	Significance tests and $R^2$			
	2.1. Relation of $R^2$ with a Fisher statistic			
	2.2. General relation between $R^2$ and Fisher tests	4		
3.	. Uncentered coefficient of determination: $\widetilde{R}^2$	5		
4.	• Adjusted coefficient of determination: $\overline{R}^2$	5		
	4.1. Definition and basic properties			
	4.2. Criterion for $\overline{R}^2$ increase through the omission of an explanatory variable			
	4.3. Generalized criterion for $\overline{R}^2$ increase through the imposition of linear constraint	s 8		
5.	. Notes on bibliography			
6.	. Chronological list of references	10		

## **1.** Coefficient of determination: $R^2$

Let  $y = X\beta + \varepsilon$  be a model that satisfies the assumptions of the classical linear model, where y and  $\varepsilon$  are  $T \times 1$  vectors, X is a  $T \times k$  matrix and  $\beta$  is  $k \times 1$  coefficient vector. We wish to characterize to which extent the variables included in X (excluding the constant, if there is one) explain y.

A first method consists in computing  $R^2$ , the "coefficient of determination", or  $R = \sqrt{R^2}$ , the "coefficient of multiple correlation". Let

$$\hat{y} = X\hat{\beta}, \ \hat{\varepsilon} = y - \hat{y}, \ \bar{y} = \sum_{t=1}^{T} y_t / T = i' y / T ,$$
 (1.1)

$$i = (1, 1, \dots, 1)'$$
 the unit vector of dimension  $T$ , (1.2)

$$SST = \sum_{t=1}^{I} (y_t - \overline{y})^2 = (y - i\overline{y})' (y - i\overline{y}) , \text{ (total sum of squares)}$$
(1.3)

$$SSR = \sum_{t=1}^{T} (\hat{y}_t - \overline{y})^2 = (\hat{y} - i\overline{y})' (\hat{y} - i\overline{y}) , \text{ (regression sum of squares)}$$
(1.4)

$$SSE = \sum_{t=1}^{T} (y_t - \hat{y}_t)^2 = (y - \hat{y})'(y - \hat{y}) = \hat{\varepsilon}'\hat{\varepsilon} , \text{ (error sum of squares).}$$
(1.5)

We can then define "variance estimators" as follows:

$$\hat{V}(y) = SST/T, \qquad (1.6)$$

$$\hat{V}(\hat{y}) = SSR/T , \qquad (1.7)$$

$$\hat{V}(\varepsilon) = SSE/T$$
. (1.8)

**1.1 Definition**  $R^2 = 1 - \left(\hat{V}(\varepsilon) / \hat{V}(y)\right) = 1 - (SSE/SST)$ .

**1.2 Proposition**  $R^2 \leq 1$ .

**PROOF** This result is immediate on observing that  $SSE/SST \ge 0$ .

#### **1.3 Lemma** $y'y = \hat{y}'\hat{y} + \hat{\varepsilon}'\hat{\varepsilon}$ .

PROOF We have

$$y = \hat{y} + \hat{\varepsilon} \text{ and } \hat{y}'\hat{\varepsilon} = \hat{\varepsilon}'\hat{y} = 0,$$
 (1.9)

hence

$$\mathbf{y}'\mathbf{y} = (\hat{\mathbf{y}} + \hat{\mathbf{\varepsilon}})'(\hat{\mathbf{y}} + \hat{\mathbf{\varepsilon}}) = \hat{\mathbf{y}}'\hat{\mathbf{y}} + \hat{\mathbf{y}}'\hat{\mathbf{\varepsilon}} + \hat{\mathbf{\varepsilon}}'\hat{\mathbf{y}} + \hat{\mathbf{\varepsilon}}'\hat{\mathbf{\varepsilon}} = \hat{\mathbf{y}}'\hat{\mathbf{y}} + \hat{\mathbf{\varepsilon}}'\hat{\mathbf{\varepsilon}} .$$

**1.4 Proposition** If one of the regressors is a constant, then

$$SST = SSR + SSE,$$
  
$$\hat{V}(y) = \hat{V}(\hat{y}) + \hat{V}(\varepsilon).$$

PROOF Let  $A = I_T - i(i'i)^{-1}i' = I_T - \frac{1}{T}ii'$ . Then, A'A = A and

$$Ay = \left[I_T - \frac{1}{T}ii'\right]y = y - i\overline{y}.$$

If one of the regressors is a constant, we have

$$i'\hat{\varepsilon} = \sum_{t=1}^{T} \hat{\varepsilon}_t = 0$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{T}\sum_{t=1}^{T}\hat{y}_t &= \frac{1}{T}i'\hat{y} = \frac{1}{T}i'(y-\hat{\varepsilon}) = \frac{1}{T}i'y = \overline{y} ,\\ A\hat{\varepsilon} &= \hat{\varepsilon} - \frac{1}{T}ii'\hat{\varepsilon} = \hat{\varepsilon} ,\\ A\hat{y} &= \hat{y} - \frac{1}{T}ii'\hat{y} = \hat{y} - i\overline{y} , \end{aligned}$$

and, using the fact that  $A\hat{\varepsilon} = \hat{\varepsilon}$  and  $\hat{y}'\hat{\varepsilon} = 0$ ,

$$SST = (y - i\overline{y})' (y - i\overline{y}) = y'A'Ay = y'Ay$$
  
$$= (\hat{y} + \hat{\varepsilon})'A (\hat{y} + \hat{\varepsilon})$$
  
$$= \hat{y}'A\hat{y} + \hat{y}'A\hat{\varepsilon} + \hat{y}'A\hat{\varepsilon} + \hat{\varepsilon}'A\hat{\varepsilon}$$
  
$$= \hat{y}'A\hat{y} + \hat{\varepsilon}'\hat{\varepsilon}$$
  
$$= (A\hat{y})' (A\hat{y}) + \hat{\varepsilon}'\hat{\varepsilon} = SSR + SSE.$$

**1.5 Proposition** If one of the regressors is a constant,

$$R^2 = rac{\hat{V}\left(\hat{y}
ight)}{\hat{V}\left(y
ight)} = rac{SSR}{SST}$$
 and  $0 \le R^2 \le 1$ .

**PROOF** By the definition of  $R^2$ , we have  $R^2 \le 1$  and

$$R^{2} = 1 - \frac{\hat{V}(\varepsilon)}{\hat{V}(y)} = \frac{\hat{V}(y) - \hat{V}(\varepsilon)}{\hat{V}(y)} = \frac{\hat{V}(\hat{y})}{\hat{V}(y)} = \frac{SSR}{SST}$$

hence  $R^2 \ge 0$ .

**1.6 Proposition** If one of the regressors is a constant, the empirical correlation between y and  $\hat{y}$  is

non-negative and equal to  $\sqrt{R^2}$ .

**PROOF** The empirical correlation between y and  $\hat{y}$  is defined by

$$\hat{
ho}(y, \hat{y}) = rac{\hat{C}(y, \hat{y})}{\left[\hat{V}(y)\hat{V}(\hat{y})
ight]^{1/2}}$$

where

$$\hat{C}(y,\hat{y}) = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (y_t - \overline{y}) (\hat{y}_t - \overline{y}) = \frac{1}{T} (Ay)' (A\hat{y})$$

and  $A = I_T - \frac{1}{T}ii'$ . Since one of the regressors is a constant,

$$A\hat{\varepsilon} = \hat{\varepsilon}, Ay = A\hat{y} + \hat{\varepsilon}, \hat{\varepsilon}'(A\hat{y}) = \hat{\varepsilon}'\hat{y} = 0$$

and

$$\hat{C}(y, \hat{y}) = \frac{1}{T} (A\hat{y} + \hat{\varepsilon})' (A\hat{y}) = \frac{1}{T} (A\hat{y})' (A\hat{y}) = \hat{V}(\hat{y}) ,$$

$$\hat{\rho}(y, \hat{y}) = \frac{\hat{V}(\hat{y})}{\left[\hat{V}(y)\hat{V}(\hat{y})\right]^{1/2}} = \left[\frac{\hat{V}(\hat{y})}{\hat{V}(y)}\right]^{1/2} = \sqrt{R^2} \ge 0 .$$

## **2.** Significance tests and $R^2$

### **2.1.** Relation of $R^2$ with a Fisher statistic

 $R^2$  is descriptive statistic which measures the proportion of the "variance" of the dependent variable y explained by suggested explanatory variables (excluding the constant). However,  $R^2$  can be related to a significance test (under the assumptions of the Gaussian classical linear model).

Consider the model

$$y_t = \boldsymbol{\beta}_1 + \boldsymbol{\beta}_2 X_{t2} + \dots + \boldsymbol{\beta}_k X_{tk} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_t , \ t = 1, \dots, T.$$

We wish to test the hypothesis that none of these variables (excluding the constant) should appear in the equation:

$$H_0: \boldsymbol{\beta}_2 = \boldsymbol{\beta}_3 = \cdots = \boldsymbol{\beta}_k = 0.$$

The Fisher statistic for  $H_0$  is

$$F = \frac{\left(S_{\omega} - S_{\Omega}\right)/q}{S_{\Omega}/(T-k)} \sim F(q, T-k)$$

where q = k - 1,  $S_{\Omega}$  is the error sum of squares from the estimation of the unconstrained model

$$\Omega: y = X\beta + \varepsilon ,$$

where  $X = [i, X_2, ..., X_k]$  and  $S_{\omega}$  s the error sum of squares from the estimation of the constrained model

$$\omega: y = i\beta_1 + \varepsilon ,$$

where i = (1, 1, ..., 1)'. We see easily that

$$S_{\Omega} = (y - X\hat{\beta})'(y - X\hat{\beta}) = SSE ,$$
  

$$\hat{\beta}_{1} = (i'i)^{-1}i'y = \frac{1}{T}\sum_{t=1}^{T}y_{t} = \overline{y} , \text{ (under }\omega\text{)}$$
  

$$S_{\omega} = (y - i\overline{y})'(y - i\overline{y}) = SST$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ll} F & = & \displaystyle \frac{\left(SST-SSE\right)/(k-1)}{SSE/(T-k)} = \frac{\left[1-\frac{SSE}{SST}\right]/(k-1)}{\frac{SSE}{SST}/(T-k)} \\ & = & \displaystyle \frac{R^2/(k-1)}{\left(1-R^2\right)/(T-k)} \sim F\left(k-1,T-k\right) \,. \end{array}$$

As  $R^2$  increases, F increases.

### **2.2.** General relation between $R^2$ and Fisher tests

Consider the general linear hypothesis

$$H_0: C\beta = r$$

where  $C: q \times k$ ,  $\beta: k \times 1$ ,  $r: q \times 1$  and rank(C) = q. The values of  $R^2$  for the constrained and unconstrained models are respectively:

$$R_0^2 = 1 - \frac{S_\omega}{SST} , R_1^2 = 1 - \frac{S_\Omega}{SST},$$

hence

$$S_{\omega} = \left(1 - R_0^2\right) SST$$
,  $S_{\Omega} = \left(1 - R_1^2\right) SST$ .

The Fisher statistic for testing  $H_0$  may thus be written

$$F = \frac{(S_{\omega} - S_{\Omega})/q}{S_{\Omega}/(T-k)} = \frac{(R_1^2 - R_0^2)/q}{(1 - R_1^2)/(T-k)}$$
$$= \left(\frac{T-k}{q}\right) \frac{R_1^2 - R_0^2}{1 - R_1^2}.$$

If  $R_1^2 - R_0^2$  is large, we tend to reject  $H_0$ . If  $H_0: \beta_2 = \beta_3 = \cdots = \beta_k = 0$ , then

$$q = k - 1$$
,  $S_{\omega} = SST$ ,  $R_0^2 = 0$ 

and the formula for F above gets reduced of the one given in section 2.1.

# **3.** Uncentered coefficient of determination: $\widetilde{R}^2$

Since  $R^2$  can take negative values when the model does not contain a constant,  $R^2$  has little meaning in this case. In such situations, we can instead use a coefficient where the values of  $y_t$  are not centered around the mean.

## **3.1 Definition** $\widetilde{R}^2 = 1 - (\hat{\epsilon}'\hat{\epsilon}/y'y)$ .

 $\tilde{R}^2$  is called the "uncentered coefficient of determination" on "uncentered  $R^2$ " and  $\tilde{R} = \sqrt{\tilde{R}^2}$  the "uncentered coefficient of multiple correlation".

**3.2 Proposition**  $0 \le \tilde{R}^2 \le 1$ .

**PROOF** This follows directly from Lemma **1.3**:  $y'y = \hat{y}'\hat{y} + \hat{\varepsilon}'\hat{\varepsilon}$ .

# **4.** Adjusted coefficient of determination: $\overline{R}^2$

#### 4.1. Definition and basic properties

An unattractive property of the  $R^2$  coefficient comes form the fact that  $R^2$  cannot decrease when explanatory variables are added to the model, even if these have no relevance. Consequently, choosing to maximize  $R^2$  can be misleading. It seems desirable to penalize models that contain too many variables.

Since

$$R^{2} = 1 - \frac{\hat{V}(\varepsilon)}{\hat{V}(y)} ,$$

where

$$\hat{V}\left(\varepsilon\right) = \frac{SSE}{T} = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \hat{\varepsilon}_{t}^{2} , \ \hat{V}\left(y\right) = \frac{SST}{T} = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \left(y_{t} - \overline{y}\right)^{2} ,$$

Theil (1961, p. 213) suggested to replace  $\hat{V}(\varepsilon)$  and  $\hat{V}(y)$  by "unbiased estimators":

$$s^{2} = \frac{SSE}{T-k} = \frac{1}{T-k} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \hat{\varepsilon}_{t}^{2} ,$$
  
$$s_{y}^{2} = \frac{SST}{T-1} = \frac{1}{T-1} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (y_{t} - \overline{y})^{2} .$$

**4.1 Definition**  $R^2$  adjusted for degrees of freedom is defined by

$$\overline{R}^2 = 1 - \frac{s^2}{s_y^2} = 1 - \frac{T - 1}{T - k} \left(\frac{SSE}{SST}\right) \,.$$

**4.2 Proposition**  $\overline{R}^2 = 1 - \frac{T-1}{T-k} (1-R^2) = R^2 - \frac{k-1}{T-k} (1-R^2)$ .

Proof

$$\overline{R}^{2} = 1 - \frac{T-1}{T-k} \left( \frac{SSE}{SST} \right) = 1 - \frac{T-1}{T-k} \left( 1 - R^{2} \right)$$

$$= 1 - \frac{T-k+k-1}{T-k} \left( 1 - R^{2} \right) = 1 - \left( 1 + \frac{k-1}{T-k} \right) \left( 1 - R^{2} \right)$$

$$= 1 - \left( 1 - R^{2} \right) - \frac{k-1}{T-k} \left( 1 - R^{2} \right) = R^{2} - \frac{k-1}{T-k} \left( 1 - R^{2} \right) . \quad Q.E.D.$$

**4.3 Proposition**  $\overline{R}^2 \leq R^2 \leq 1$ .

**PROOF** The result follows from the fact that  $1 - R^2 \ge 0$  and (4.2).

**4.4 Proposition**  $\overline{R}^2 = R^2$  iff  $(k = 1 \text{ or } R^2 = 1)$ .

**4.5 Proposition**  $\overline{R}^2 \leq 0$  iff  $R^2 \leq \frac{k-1}{T-1}$ .

 $\overline{R}^2$  can be negative even if  $R^2 \ge 0$ . If the number of explanatory variables is increased,  $R^2$  and k both increase, so that  $\overline{R}^2$  can increase or decrease.

**4.6 Remark** When several models are compared on the basis of  $R^2$  or  $\overline{R}^2$ , it is important to have the same dependent variable. When the dependent variable (y) is the same, maximizing  $\overline{R}^2$  is equivalent to minimizing the standard error of the regression

$$s = \left[\frac{1}{T-k}\sum_{t=1}^{T}\hat{\varepsilon}_t^2\right]^{1/2}$$

## **4.2.** Criterion for $\overline{R}^2$ increase through the omission of an explanatory variable

Consider the two models:

$$y_t = \beta_1 X_{t1} + \dots + \beta_{k-1} X_{t(k-1)} + \varepsilon_t$$
,  $t = 1, \dots, T$ , (4.1)

$$y_t = \beta_1 X_{t1}, + \dots + \beta_{k-1} X_{t(k-1)} + \beta_k X_{tk} + \varepsilon_t \quad , t = 1, \dots, T.$$
(4.2)

We can then show that the value of  $\overline{R}^2$  associated with the restricted model (4.1) is larger than the one of model (4.2) if the *t* statistic for testing  $\beta_k = 0$  is smaller than 1 (in absolute value).

**4.7 Proposition** If  $\overline{R}_{k-1}^2$  and  $\overline{R}_k^2$  are the values of  $\overline{R}^2$  for models (4.1) and (4.2), then

$$\overline{R}_k^2 - \overline{R}_{k-1}^2 = \frac{\left(1 - \overline{R}_k^2\right)}{\left(T - k + 1\right)} \left(t_k^2 - 1\right) \tag{4.3}$$

where  $t_k$  is the Student *t* statistic for testing  $\beta_k = 0$  in model (4.2), and

$$\overline{R}_k^2 \leq \overline{R}_{k-1}^2$$
 iff  $t_k^2 \leq 1$  iff  $|t_k| \leq 1$ .

If furthermore  $\overline{R}_k^2 < 1$ , then

$$\overline{R}_k^2 \stackrel{\leq}{=} \overline{R}_{k-1}^2 \quad iff \quad |t_k| \stackrel{\leq}{=} 1 \; .$$

PROOF By definition,

$$\overline{R}_{k}^{2} = 1 - \frac{s_{k}^{2}}{s_{y}^{2}}$$
 and  $\overline{R}_{k-1}^{2} = 1 - \frac{s_{k-1}^{2}}{s_{y}^{2}}$ 

where  $s_k^2 = SS_k/(T-k)$  and  $s_{k-1}^2 = SS_{k-1}/(T-k+1)$ .  $SS_k$  and  $SS_{k-1}$  are the sums of squared errors for the models with k and k-1 explanatory variables. Since  $t_k^2$  is the Fisher statistic for testing  $\beta_k = 0$ , we have

$$t_k^2 = \frac{(SS_{k-1} - SS_k)}{SS_k/(T-k)}$$

$$= \frac{\left[ (T-k+1) s_{k-1}^2 - (T-k) s_k^2 \right]}{s_k^2}$$
  
= 
$$\frac{(T-k+1) \left( 1 - \overline{R}_{k-1}^2 \right) - (T-k) \left( 1 - \overline{R}_k^2 \right)}{1 - \overline{R}_k^2}$$
  
= 
$$(T-k+1) \left( \frac{1 - \overline{R}_{k-1}^2}{1 - \overline{R}_k^2} \right) - (T-k)$$

for  $s_{k-1}^2 = s_y^2 \left( 1 - \overline{R}_{k-1}^2 \right)$  and  $s_k^2 = s_y^2 \left( 1 - \overline{R}_k^2 \right)$ . Consequently,

$$1 - \overline{R}_{k-1}^2 = \left(1 - \overline{R}_k^2\right) \frac{\left\lfloor t_k^2 + (T-k) \right\rfloor}{T-k+1}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{R}_k^2 - \overline{R}_{k-1}^2 &= \left(1 - \overline{R}_{k-1}^2\right) - \left(1 - \overline{R}_k^2\right) \\ &= \left(1 - \overline{R}_k^2\right) \left[\frac{t_k^2 + (T-k)}{T-k+1} - 1\right] \\ &= \left(1 - \overline{R}_k^2\right) \left[\frac{t_k^2 - 1}{T-k+1}\right]. \end{aligned}$$

# 4.3. Generalized criterion for $\overline{R}^2$ increase through the imposition of linear constraints

We will now study when the imposition of q linearly independent constraints

$$H_0: C\beta = r$$

will raise or decrease  $\overline{R}^2$ , where  $C: q \times k, r: q \times 1$  and  $\operatorname{rank}(C) = q$ . Let  $\overline{R}_{H_0}^2$  and  $\overline{R}^2$  be the values of  $\overline{R}^2$  for the constrained (by  $H_0$ ) and unconstrained models, similarly,  $s_0^2$  and  $s^2$  are the values of the corresponding unbiased estimators of the error variance.

**4.8 Proposition** Let *F* be the Fisher statistic for testing  $H_0$ . Then

$$s_0^2 - s^2 = \frac{qs^2}{T - k + q}(F - 1)$$

and

$$s_0^2 \stackrel{\leq}{\equiv} s^2 \quad iff \quad F \stackrel{\leq}{\equiv} 1 \; .$$

**PROOF** If  $SS_0$  and SS are the sum of squared errors for the constrained and unconstrained models, we have:

$$s_0^2 = \frac{SS_0}{T - k + q}$$
 and  $s^2 = \frac{SS}{T - k}$ .

The *F* statistic may then be written

$$F = \frac{(SS_0 - SS)/q}{SS/(T - k)}$$
  
=  $\frac{\left[(T - k + q)s_0^2 - (T - k)s^2\right]}{qs^2} = \frac{T - k + q}{q} \left(\frac{s_0^2}{s^2}\right) - \frac{T - k}{q}$ 

hence

$$\begin{split} s_0^2 &= s^2 \frac{[qF+(T-k)]}{(T-k)+q} \ , \\ s_0^2-s^2 &= s^2 \frac{q\,(F-1)}{(T-k)+q} \ , \end{split}$$

and

$$s_0^2 \stackrel{\leq}{\equiv} s^2 \quad \text{iff} \quad F \stackrel{\leq}{\equiv} 1$$
.

**4.9 Proposition** Let F be the Fisher statistic for testing  $H_0$ . Then

$$\overline{R}^2 - \overline{R}_{H_0}^2 = \frac{q\left(1 - \overline{R}^2\right)}{T - k + q} \left(F - 1\right)$$

and

$$\overline{R}_{H_0}^2 \stackrel{\geq}{\equiv} \overline{R}^2 \quad iff \quad F \stackrel{\leq}{\equiv} 1 \; .$$

PROOF By definition,

$$\overline{R}_{H_0}^2 = 1 - \frac{s_0^2}{s_y^2}, \ \overline{R}^2 = 1 - \frac{s^2}{s_y^2}.$$

Thus,

$$\overline{R}^2 - \overline{R}_{H_0}^2 = \frac{s^2 - s_0^2}{s_y^2}$$
$$= \frac{q}{T - k + q} \left(\frac{s^2}{s_y^2}\right) (F - 1)$$

$$= \frac{q\left(1-\overline{R}^2\right)}{T-k+q} \left(F-1\right)$$
  
$$\overline{R}_{H_0}^2 \gtrless \overline{R}^2 \quad \text{iff} \quad F \gneqq 1.$$

hence

On taking q = 1, we get property (4.3). If we test an hypothesis of the type

$$H_0: \boldsymbol{\beta}_k = \boldsymbol{\beta}_{k+1} = \cdots = \boldsymbol{\beta}_{k+l} = 0 ,$$

it is possible that F > 1, while all the statistics  $|t_i|$ , i = k, ..., k+l are smaller than 1. This means that  $\overline{R}^2$  increases when we omit one explanatory variable at a time, but decreases when they are all excluded from the regression. Further, it is also possible that F < 1, but  $|t_i| > 1$  for all *i*:  $\overline{R}^2$ increases when all the explanatory variables are simultaneously excluded, but decreases when only one is excluded.

#### 5. Notes on bibliography

The notion of  $\overline{R}^2$  was proposed by Theil (1961, p. 213). Several authors have presented detailed discussions of the different concepts of multiple correlation: for example, Theil (1971, Chap. 4), Schmidt (1976) and Maddala (1977, Sections 8.1, 8.2, 8.3, 8.9). The  $\overline{R}^2$  concept is criticized by Pesaran (1974). The mean and bias of  $R^2$  were studied by Cramer (1987) in the Gaussian case, and by Srivastava, Srivastava and Ullah (1995) in some non-Gaussian cases.

#### 6. Chronological list of references

- 1. Theil (1961, p. 213) \_ The  $\overline{R}^2$  nation was proposed in this book.
- 2. Theil (1971, Chap. 4) \_ Detailed discussion of  $R^2$ ,  $\overline{R}^2$  and partial correlation.
- 3. Pesaran (1974) \_ Critique of  $\overline{R}^2$ .
- 4. Schmidt (1976)
- 5. Maddala (1977, Sections 8.1, 8.2, 8.3, 8.9) \_ Discussion of  $R^2$  and  $\overline{R}^2$  along with their relation with hypothesis tests.
- 6. Hendry and Marshall (1983)
- 7. Cramer (1987)
- 8. Ohtani and Hasegawa (1993)

9. Srivastava et al. (1995)

#### References

- Cramer, J. S. (1987), 'Mean and variance of  $R^2$  in small and moderate samples', *Econometric Reviews* **35**, 253–266.
- Hendry, D. F. and Marshall, R. C. (1983), 'On high and low *R*<sup>2</sup> contributions', *Oxford Bulletin of Economics and Statistics* **45**, 313–316.
- Maddala, G. S. (1977), Econometrics, McGraw-Hill, New York.
- Ohtani, L. and Hasegawa, H. (1993), 'On small-sample properties of  $R^2$  in a linear regression model with multivariate *t* errors and proxy variables', *Econometric Theory* **9**, 504–515.
- Pesaran, M. H. (1974), 'On the general problem of model selection', *Review of Economic Studies* **41**, 153–171.
- Schmidt, P. (1976), *Econometrics*, Marcel Dekker, New York.
- Srivastava, A. K., Srivastava, V. K. and Ullah, A. (1995), 'The coefficient of determination and its adjusted version in linear regression models', *Econometric Reviews* 14, 229–240.
- Theil, H. (1961), Economic Forecasts and Policy, 2nd Edition, North-Holland, Amsterdam.
- Theil, H. (1971), Principles of Econometrics, John Wiley & Sons, New York.